STANDARD MODEL OF ELEMENTARY PARTICLES AND THE GEOMETRY OF SPACE WITH ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

[1]RUSLAN POZINKEVYCH

[1]Faculty of Information Technologies and Mathematics, the Eastern European National University

Ruslan Pozinkevych: galagut@protonmail.com

Corresponding author: RUSLAN POZINKEVYCH

ABSTRACT

Aims/ Objectives: Our aim is to provide mathematical evidence for the validity of the Standard Model of particle physics[1][13]. The analysis of the theory covers all of the SM's aspects, with the possible exception of the Higgs boson, whose addition may later be adjusted using experimental data or as a factor in the equations given by the article[2]. The symmetry of space, which the SM has been trying to prove for a long time, may be mathematically refuted due to its heterogeneity. On the other hand, the given approach enables a researcher to calculate mass density and its distribution on a cosmic level, which, in the author's opinion, is the reason why the universe's matter distribution is heterogeneous. The simplicity and link between the four known fundamental forces is taken into consideration as a factor that a ects the geometry of space as we know it. We can even visualize the so-called 'fabrique' of space in the universe and categorize all known elementary particles based on their energy levels. [8].

KEYWORDS: Square Matrix, Particle Physics, Standard Model, Space, Theory of Gravitation

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

We begin with a well-known fact regarding matrix properties[15]

$$QtQ = QQt = I \quad (1)$$

This property concerns square matrices only, e.g., the ones of the type:

$2 \times 2, 3 \times 3, ...n \times n;$ It allows us to group data in such a way that the cross product of the two gives us an identity matrix[12] Please check the following:

Figure 1: Standard Model of Elementary Particles.

The transpose of a given matrix is clearly not equal to it's inverse: $Q^t \neq Q^{-1} \rightarrow Q^tQ \neq QQ^t \neq I$ Therefore, we have to look for a matrix/vector such that:

$$QQ^t = I$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e & & & \\ & g & & \\ & & c & \\ & & & h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{e} & & & \\ & \frac{1}{g} & & \\ & & \frac{1}{c} & \\ & & & \frac{1}{h} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

This rule applies to all square diagonal matrices by definition: $|A| (n-2)A$[7]The aim of our research though is to show how mathematical rules apply in the world of physics [6][11]

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Let's turn our sights to the properties of square matrices for a minute A determinant of a 4×4 matrix is defined as sum of products of the cofactors and respective triangular matrices [3][4] Let's look at an example:

$$\begin{matrix} x-1 & y-2 & z-3 & d-4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 7 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{matrix} \quad (3)$$

The determinant of the matrix (3) is equal: $16*d + 16*y - 32*z$In other words we have an equation (3) whose result is a 3-component vector that defines an R^3Space Can the same matrix define a different space? Yes, it can [10] Let's take a look:

$$\begin{matrix} x-1 & y-2 & z-3 & d-4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 7 & 10 & 11 & 2 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{matrix} \quad (4)$$

The determinant of the same size matrix is now a 4-component vector $16*d - 80*x + 176*y - 112*z$that defines an R^4Space

3. METHODOLOGY

If we now correlate sum of the 4 vectors forming a matrix and calculate its determinant by plugging in the same numbers we used in formulas (3) and

$$\begin{matrix} x-e & y-g & z-h & d-c \\ E_1 = k_e \frac{q_1 q_2}{r^2} & E_1 = mgh & E_1 = hv & E_1 = mc^2 \\ E_2 = k_e \frac{q_1 q_2}{r^2} & E_2 = mgh & E_2 = hv & E_2 = mc^2 \\ E_3 = k_e \frac{q_1 q_2}{r^2} & E_3 = mgh & E_3 = hv & E_3 = mc^2 \end{matrix} \quad (5)$$

In the first case we have $16*d + 16*y - 32*z$result while in the second this result is different: $16*d - 80*x + 176*y - 112*z$With regards to Energy Conservation Law and Conservation Law in general the input amount of energy should be equal to the output but the same input equation $(x-e)(y-g)(z-h)(d-c)$gives different output in terms of numeric output value.[14][16]

4. PROOF

Even though the amount of energy measured in various dimensions is different it might be the same using various measurement techniques[5] Energy distribution might vary and we should speak of a density of it If we use our formulas (3) and (4) we will might equalize them using the cofactor: $(x-1), -(y-2), +(z-3), -(d-4)$or more generally: $((x-e), -(y-g), +(z-h), -(d-c))$

TRESEARCH

5. CONCLUSIONS

The Standard Model is thought to be theoretically self-consistent and ca- pable of making some experimental predictions. When paired with Vector Calculus, it can explain physical phenomena that cannot be explained, like the matter/anti-matter paradox, incorporate the full theory of gravitation as described by general relativity, or explain the universe's accelerating expan- sion, which may be explained by dark energy. The model does include data with all the necessary characteristics inferred from observational cosmology. Additionally, wave theory and other methods of characterizing micro and macrophysical phenomena can be incorporated.[9] On the other hand we can expand this model presenting it with the formula that includes an extra dimension or extra dimensions provided that a5,5element is a Higgs Boson scalar and adding an extra dimension to the given pattern (Pls see the formula below)

$$\Delta \begin{bmatrix} h & & & & & \\ & e & & & & \\ & & c & & & \\ & & & g & \cdots & \\ & & & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & \cdots & \end{bmatrix} = \left| \bar{E} \right| == \bar{E} : \left| a_{5,5} = H \left(HiggsBoson \right) \right. \quad (6)$$

Conversely one can look at the conservation of energy law from another perspective Namely instead of the sum of 'energies' we will be using their scalar representation or matrix product just the same as in Formula (2):

$$\begin{pmatrix} e & & & \\ & g & & \\ & & c & \\ & & & h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{e} & & & \\ & \frac{1}{g} & & \\ & & \frac{1}{c} & \\ & & & \frac{1}{h} \end{pmatrix}$$

The question might arise "...and where is our Higgs Boson?" Its right here

The inverse of a matrix A is the Higgs Boson With that said let's formulate the 'Conservation of Energy Law': 'The amount of energy remains the same and its equal:

$$A \cdot U = I : U \text{ is the inverse of matrix } A \quad (7)$$

If we analyze it further we can see that A can be a matrix of variables a_i and U as a matrix of coeficients b_i: Pls check:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \, b_i - 1 = 0 \quad (8)$$

REFERENCES

[1] Emana, T. K., & Haramaya, E. (2017). FEYNMAN DIAGRAMS OF THE STANDARD MODEL.

[2] Feynman, R. (2018). Feynman lectures on gravitation. CRC Press.

[3] Aitken, A. C. (2017). Determinants and matrices. Read Books Ltd.

[4] Day, J., & Peterson, B. (1988). Growth in Gaussian elimination. The American Mathematical Monthly, 95(6), 489–513.

[5] Huss-Lederman, S., Jacobson, E. M., Tsao, A., Turnbull, T., & Johnson, J. R. (1996, November). Implementation of Strassen's algorithm for matrix multiplication. In Proceedings of the 1996 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing (pp. 32-es).

[6] Boas, M. L., & Spector, D. (1999). Mathematical methods in the physical sciences.

[7] Bapat, R. B. (2010). Graphs and matrices (Vol. 27, p. 23). New York: Springer.

[8] Hayter, S. W. (1976). Almost All about Waves by John R. Pierce. Leonardo, 9(3), 246–246.

[9] Tobisch, E. (Ed.). (2016). New Approaches to Nonlinear Waves. Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[10] Pozinkevych, R. (2020). Ternary Mathematics Principles Truth Tables and Logical Operators 3 D Placement of Logical Elements Extensions of Boolean Algebra. In Other Words, 1(1), 0.

[11] Yuan, T. (2024). Physics Mechanisms Behind Light and Gravity in Universe.

[12] Dolciani, M. P. (1967). Modern introductory analysis. In Modern introductory analysis (pp. 660–660).

[13] Hokov-Mayerov, ., Flaut, E. C., & Maturo, F. (Eds.). (2021). Algorithms as a Basis of Modern Applied Mathematics. Springer.

[14] Dowdy, S., Wearden, S., & Chilko, D. (2011). Statistics for research. John Wiley & Sons.

[15] Dubovyk, V. P., & Yuryk, I. I. (2011). Vyshcha matematyka. Zbirnyk zadach: navch. posib.

[16] Mosquera Rodas, Jhon Jairo. (2024). Systemic Relational Lineality Theory and the Relational Simplification Method for Heterogeneous Equations in Physics and Mathematics. Available at SSRN 4793404.